

In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

Filed: June 24, 2020

* * * * *	*	
MARLENE LOTT,	*	UNPUBLISHED
<i>as surviving spouse of</i>	*	
ROBERT LOTT,	*	
<i>Deceased</i>	*	
Petitioner,	*	No. 18-95V
	*	
v.	*	Special Master Gowen
	*	
SECRETARY OF HEALTH	*	
AND HUMAN SERVICES,	*	Attorneys' Fees and Costs;
	*	Stipulation Decision.
Respondent.	*	
	*	
* * * * *	*	

Brian L. Cinelli, Marcus & Cinelli, LLP, Williamsville, NY, for petitioner.
Mark K. Hellie, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C., for respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS¹

On January 19, 2018, Robert C. Lott ("petitioner"), filed a petition pursuant to the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program.² Petitioner alleged as a result of an influenza ("flu") vaccine he received on November 25, 2015, he suffered a left shoulder injury related to vaccine administration and resultant skin lesions. Petition at Preamble (ECF No. 1). The parties agreed on a stipulated resolution of the case awarding compensation to petitioner on June 20, 2019. Stipulation (ECF No. 27). On June 20, 2019, a Decision on Stipulation was filed. ECF No. 28.

On July 30, 2019, petitioner filed an application for attorneys' fees and costs. Petitioner's Fees Application ("Fees App.") (ECF No. 33). Petitioner requests a total of \$22,486.10 in attorneys'

¹ Pursuant to the E-Government Act of 2002, *see* 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012), because this opinion contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the website of the United States Court of Federal Claims. The court's website is at <http://www.uscfc.uscourts.gov/aggregator/sources/7>. **This means the opinion will be available to anyone with access to the Internet.** Before the decision is posted on the court's website, each party has 14 days to file a motion requesting redaction "of any information furnished by that party: (1) that is a trade secret or commercial or financial in substance and is privileged or confidential; or (2) that includes medical files or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy." Vaccine Rule 18(b). An objecting party must provide the court with a proposed redacted version of the opinion. *Id.* **If neither party files a motion for redaction within 14 days, the opinion will be posted on the court's website without any changes. *Id.***

² The Program comprises Part 2 of the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-10 *et seq.* (hereinafter "Vaccine Act" or "the Act"). Hereafter, individual section references will be to 42 U.S.C. § 300aa of the Act.

fees and costs. Fees App. Attachment 3. Respondent responded to the motion on August 12, 2019. Respondent's Response ("Resp. Response") ECF No. 34. Respondent stated, "Respondent is satisfied that the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys' fees and costs are met in this case," and requests that the undersigned "exercise his discretion and determine a reasonable award for attorneys' fees and costs." *Id.* at 3. For the reasons discussed below, I **GRANT** petitioner's motion for attorneys' fees and cost and award a total of \$22,486.10.

I. Legal Standard

Under the Vaccine Act, the special master shall award reasonable attorneys' fees and costs for any petition that results in an award of compensation. 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(3)(1). Petitioner in this case was awarded compensation pursuant to a Stipulation and therefore he shall be awarded reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of "reasonable" attorneys' fees and costs. 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(e)(1). The Federal Circuit has approved the use of the lodestar approach to determine reasonable attorneys' fees and costs under the Vaccine Act. *Avera v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 515 F.3d 1343, 1349 (Fed. Cir. 2008). Using the lodestar approach, a court first determines the reasonable hourly rate, which is then applied to the number of hours reasonably expended on the litigation. *Id.* at 1347-58 (citing *Blum v. Stenson*, 465 U.S. 886, 888 (1984)).

Petitioners "bear the burden of establishing the hours expended, the rates charged, and the expenses incurred" are reasonable. *Wasson v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 24 Cl. Ct. 482, 484 (1993). Adequate proof of the claimed fees and costs should be presented when the motion is filed. *Id.* at 484 n.1. The special master has the discretion to reduce awards *sua sponte*, independent of enumerated objections from the respondent. *Sabella v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 86 Fed. Cl. 201, 208-09 (Fed. Cl. 2009); *Savin v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 85 Fed. Cl. 313 (Fed. Cl. 2008), *aff'd* No. 99-537V, 2008 WL 2066611 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Apr. 22, 2008). Special masters may look to their experience and judgment to reduce the number of hours billed to a level they find reasonable for the work performed. *Saxton v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 3 F.3d 1517, 1521 (Fed. Cir. 1993). A line-by-line evaluation of the billing records is not required. *Wasson*, 24 Cl. Ct., *aff'd in relevant part*, 988 F.2d 131 (Fed Cir. 1993) (per curiam).

II. Reasonable Attorneys' Fees and Costs

a. Hourly Rate

Petitioner requests that attorney Brian L. Cinelli be compensated at an hourly rate of \$300.00 per hour for work performed in 2017, 2018 and 2019. Fees App. Attachment 3. Petitioner also requests that work performed by a paralegal in the same years be compensated at a rate of \$110.00 per hour. *Id.*

Petitioner's requested rates are consistent with the rates previously awarded by other special masters. *See Buras v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, No. 17-1012, 2018 WL 5046453 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Aug. 3, 2018); *Ware v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, No. 15-1410, 2018 WL 1150061 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Feb. 6, 2018). Additionally, these rates are consistent with the Office

of Special Masters Attorneys' Forum Hourly Rate Fee Schedule.³ Therefore, the requested rates are reasonable.

b. Hours Expended

A line-by-line evaluation of the invoiced hours is not required; instead, I may rely on my experience to evaluate the reasonableness of the hours expended. *Wasson*, 24 Cl. Ct. at 484. Accordingly, I may reduce the number of hours claimed based on past experience. *Saxton*, 3 F.3d at 1521. Petitioner included a detailed time sheet that provides a break down of the tasks performed by each attorney and law clerk in the relevant time period. Fees App. Attachment 3. After reviewing the invoice of the hours billed, I find that hours expended are reasonable and no reductions are necessary. **Accordingly, petitioner is awarded attorney's fees in the amount of \$21,500.00.**

c. Attorneys' Costs

Like attorneys' fees, a request for reimbursement for costs must be reasonable. *Perreira v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 27 Fed Cl. 29, 34 (Fed. Cl. 1992). Petitioner requests a total of \$986.10 in costs. These include the costs of obtaining medical records, postage and the filing fee for the petition. Fees App. Ex. 2 at 1. These costs are typical of the expenses in the Vaccine Program. The amounts are reasonable and adequately documented. Therefore, these costs will be awarded in full. **Accordingly, petitioner is awarded \$986.10 in attorneys' costs.**

III. Conclusion

In conclusion with the foregoing, petitioner's application for attorneys' fees and costs is **GRANTED. Accordingly, I award the following:**

- 1) A lump sum in the amount of \$22,486.10, representing reimbursement for petitioner's attorneys' fees and costs, in the form of a check payable jointly to petitioner and his counsel, Mr. Brian L. Cinelli.⁴**

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.⁵

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Thomas L. Gowen

Thomas L. Gowen
Special Master

³ OSM Attorneys' Forum Hourly Rate Fee Schedules, <http://www.cofc.uscourts.gov/node/2914> (accessed on Oct. 21, 2019).

⁴ This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs," and fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, Section 15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. See generally *Beck v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

⁵ Entry of judgment is expedited by each party's filing notice renouncing the right to seek review. Vaccine Rule 11(a).